Environmental Protection Agency

review by appropriate experts to assist with technical evaluation. Funding decisions will be based on the merit of each application in accordance with the application review criteria under §35.1640-1. EPA will consider Phase 1 applications separately from Phase 2 applications.

§35.1640-1 Application review criteria.

- (a) When evaluating applications, EPA will consider information supplied by the applicant which address the following criteria:
- (1) The technical feasibility of the project, and where appropriate, the estimated improvement in lake water quality.
- (2) The anticipated positive changes that the project would produce in the overall lake ecosystem, including the watershed, such as the net reduction in sediment, nutrient, and other pollutant loadings.
- (3) The estimated improvement in fish and wildlife habitat and associated beneficial effects on specific fish populations of sport and commercial species
- (4) The extent of anticipated benefits to the public. EPA will consider such factors as
- (i) The degree, nature and sufficiency of public access to the lake;
- (ii) The size and economic structure of the population residing near the lake which would use the improved lake for recreational and other purposes;
- (iii) The amount and kind of public transportation available for transport of the public to and from the public access points;
- (iv) Whether other relatively clean publicly owned freshwater lakes within 80 kilometer radius already adequately serve the population; and
- (v) Whether the restoration would benefit primarily the owners of private land adjacent to the lake.
- (5) The degree to which the project considers the "open space" policies contained in sections 201(f), 201(g), and 208(b)(2)(A) of the Act.
- (6) The reasonableness of the proposed costs relative to the proposed work, the likelihood that the project will succeed, and the potential public benefits.

- (7) The means for controlling adverse environmental impacts which would result from the proposed restoration of the lake. EPA will give specific attention to the environmental concerns listed in section (c) of appendix A.
- (8) The State priority ranking for a particular project.
- (9) The State's operation and maintenance program to ensure that the pollution control measures and/or in-lake restorative techniques supported under the project will be continued after the project is completed.
- (b) For Phase 1 applications, the review criteria presented in paragraph (a) of this section will be modified in relation to the smaller amount of technical information and analysis that is available in the application. Specifically, under criterion (a)(1), EPA will consider a technical assessment of the proposed project approach to meet the requirements stated in appendix A to this regulation. Under criterion (a)(4), EPA will consider the degree of public access to the lake and the public benefit. Under criterion (a)(7), EPA will consider known or anticipated adverse environmental impacts identified in the application or that EPA can presume will occur. Criterion (a)(9) will not be considered.

§ 35.1650 Award.

- (a) Under 40 CFR 30.345, generally 90 days after EPA has received a complete application, the application will either be: (1) Approved for funding in an amount determined to be appropriate for the project; (2) returned to the applicant due to lack of funding; or (3) disapproved. The applicant shall be promptly notified in writing by the EPA Regional Administrator of any funding decisions.
- (b) Applications that are disapproved can be submitted as new applications to EPA if the State resolves the issues identified during EPA review.

§35.1650-1 Project period.

- (a) The project period for Phase 1 projects shall not exceed three years.
- (b) The project period for Phase 2 projects shall not exceed four years. Implementation of complex projects

§ 35.1650-2

and projects incorporating major construction may have longer project periods if approved by the Regional Administrator.

§35.1650-2 Limitations on awards.

- (a) Before awarding assistance, the Regional Administrator shall determine that:
- (1) The applicant has met all of the applicable requirements of §35.1620 and §35.1630; and
- (2) State programs under section 314 of the Act are part of a State/EPA Agreement which shall be completed before the project is awarded.
- (b) Before awarding Phase 2 projects, the Regional Administrator shall further determine that:
- (1) When a Phase 1 project was awarded, the final report prepared under Phase 1 is used by the applicant to apply for Phase 2 assistance. The lake restoration plan selected under the Phase 1 project must be implemented under a Phase 2 cooperative agreement.
- (2) Pollution control measures in the lake watershed authorized by section 201, included in an approved 208 plan, or required by section 402 of the Act have been completed or are being implemented according to a schedule that is included in an approved plan or discharge permit.
- (3) The project does not include costs for controlling point source discharges of pollutants where those sources can be alleviated by permits issued under section 402 of the Act, or by the planning and construction of wastewater treatment facilities under section 201 of the Act.
- (4) The State has appropriately considered the "open space" policy presented in sections 201(f), 201(g)(6), and 208(b)(2)(A) of the Act in any wastewater management activities being implemented by them in the lake watershed.
- (5)(i) The project does not include costs for harvesting aquatic vegetation, or for chemical treatment to alleviate temporarily the symptoms of eutrophication, or for operating and maintaining lake aeration devices, or for providing similar palliative methods and procedures, unless these proce-

dures are the most energy efficient or cost effective lake restorative method.

- (ii) Palliative approaches can be supported only where pollution in the lake watershed has been controlled to the greatest practicable extent, and where such methods and procedures are a necessary part of a project during the project period. EPA will determine the eligibility of such a project, based on the applicant's justification for the proposed restoration, the estimated time period for improved lake water quality, and public benefits associated with the restoration.
- (6) The project does not include costs for desalinization procedures for naturally saline lakes.
- (7) The project does not include costs for purchasing or long term leasing of land used solely to provide public access to a lake.
- (8) The project does not include costs resulting from litigation against the recipient by EPA.
- (9) The project does not include costs for measures to mitigate adverse environmental impacts that are not identified in the approved project scope of work. (EPA may allow additional costs for mitigation after it has reevaluated the cost-effectiveness of the selected alternative and has approved a request for an increase from the recipient.)

§35.1650-3 Conditions on award.

- (a) *All awards.* (1) All assistance awarded under the Clean Lakes program is subject to the EPA General Grant conditions (subpart C and appendix A of part 30 of this chapter).
- (2) For each clean lakes project the State agrees to pay or arrange the payment of the non-Federal share of the project costs.
- (b) *Phase 1.* Phase 1 projects are subject to the following conditions:
- (1) The recipient must receive EPA project officer approval on any changes to satisfy the requirements of paragraph (a)(10) of appendix A before undertaking any other work under the grant.
- (2)(i) Before selecting the best alternative for controlling pollution and improving the lake, as required in paragraph (b)(1) of appendix A of this regulation, and before undertaking any other work stated under paragraph (b)